arXiv:1202.4538v2 [hep-th] 5 Apr 2012

BRST Analysis of Physical Fields and States for 4D Quantum Gravity on $R \times S^3$

Ken-ji Hamada[1]

Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies, KEK, Tsukuba 305-0801, Japan
and
Department of Particle and Nuclear Physics, The Graduate University for Advanced Studies (Sokendai), Tsukuba 305-0801, Japan

Abstract

We consider the background free quantum gravity formulated as conformal gravity with Riegert-Wess-Zumino action in the ultraviolet limit. We construct the nilpotent BRST operator imposing diffeomorphism invariance and analyze physical fields and states on the $R \times S^3$ background employed in practice. With attention to the presence of background charges, various significant properties such as the state-operator correspondence and the norm structure are clarified with some examples. Physical implications to inflationary cosmology are also discussed.

---

[1] E-mail address: hamada@post.kek.jp; URL: http://research.kek.jp/people/hamada/

1 Introduction

In order to construct quantum theory of gravity capable of describing spacetime dynamics beyond the Planck scale, we require the following three basic requirements on the theory:

- background-metric independence,
- finiteness,
- four spacetime dimensions.

At first, the background-metric independence will be necessary as the fundamental symmetry to break the wall of the Planck scale. The meaning of finiteness is twofold: there is no spacetime singularity and the theory is renomalizable. The background-metric independence simply means that there is no fixed scale and no special point in space and thus it is closely linked with the finiteness. The requirement of four spacetime dimensions is also linked with the renomalizability.

In order to be background-metric independent, the theory should be quantized nonperturbatively. In two dimensions, it has been realized as what is called the Liouville gravity [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. The four dimensional model we are going to discuss is the renormalizable quantum gravity [7, 8] developed on the basis of conformal gravity by applying the nonperturbative approach inspired from the two dimensional model.

Nonperturbative effects are incorporated by treating the conformal factor $e^{2\phi}$ in the metric field exactly without introducing its own coupling constant, while the traceless tensor field $h_{\mu\nu}$ is handled by the perturbation theory as [7, 8]

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\phi}(\hat{g}e^{th})_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\phi}\left(\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} + t h_{\mu\nu} + \cdots\right) \tag{1.1}$$

with $tr(h) = \hat{g}^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu} = 0$, where $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is the background metric and t is the only one dimensionless coupling constant which indicates the asymptotic freedom.

We here consider the model at the ultraviolet (UV) fixed point of $t = 0$, where exact conformal invariance arises as a realization of background-metric independence, whose dynamics is described by the combined system of the Riegert-Wess-Zumino action [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18] and the Weyl action divided by t^2.

In this paper, we continue the study of physical fields and states developed in [14, 15, 16, 17] in the context of the Becchi-Rouet-Stora-Tyupin (BRST) quantization [19, 20, 21, 22, 6]. The BRST symmetry we discuss here is the residual diffeomorphism symmetry left after the gauge fixing such that gauge degrees of freedom reduce to the 15 conformal Killing vectors ζ^μ satisfying $\hat{\nabla}_\mu \zeta_\nu + \hat{\nabla}_\nu \zeta_\mu - \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} \hat{\nabla}_\lambda \zeta^\lambda / 2 = 0$ [14, 16]. The BRST transformation is obtained by replacing ζ^μ with the corresponding gauge ghost c^μ as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\rm B} \phi &= c^\lambda \hat{\nabla}_\lambda \phi + \frac{1}{4} \hat{\nabla}_\lambda c^\lambda, \\ \delta_{\rm B} h_{\mu\nu} &= c^\lambda \hat{\nabla}_\lambda h_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu\lambda} \left(\hat{\nabla}_\nu c^\lambda - \hat{\nabla}^\lambda c_\nu \right) + \frac{1}{2} h_{\nu\lambda} \left(\hat{\nabla}_\mu c^\lambda - \hat{\nabla}^\lambda c_\mu \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

This transformation can be regarded as a conformal transformation considering quantum gravity as a quantum field theory on the background spacetime. Due to the presence of the shift term in the first equation, the invariance under the conformal change of the background metric occurs as the gauge symmetry.

Unlike usual conformal field theories, this conformal invariance is imposed on the field as well as the vacuum because it is the gauge symmetry. Although the residual gauge degrees of freedom are finite, this symmetry is much strong because the right-hand side is field dependent so that the transformation mixes all modes in the field. Indeed, fields with tensor indices are excluded from physical fields.

This paper is presented as follows. In the next sections, we briefly summarize the model and the definitions of the basic objects we use. The nilpotent BRST operator imposing diffeomorphism invariance is constructed in Section 3. Physical fields and states are studied in the context of BRST formalism with some examples in Sections 4 and 5. The physical significant properties such as the state-operator correspondence and the norm structure are clarified in Section 6. Section 7 is devoted to conclusion and discussion.

2 Brief Summary of The Model

In order to discuss diffeomorphism symmetry at the quantum level, we have to specify the gravitational action. The action that governs the dynamics of the traceless tensor field is given by the Weyl action defined by $-(1/t^2)\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}C^2_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$, where $C_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$ is the Weyl tensor. The action for the ϕ field, called the Riegert field, in the conformal factor is induced from the path integral measure. At the UV limit of $t=0$, it is given by the Riegert-Wess-Zumino action [9]

$$S_{\rm RWZ} = -\frac{b_1}{(4\pi)^2}\int d^4x\sqrt{-\hat{g}}\left\{2\phi\hat{\Delta}_4\phi + \left(\hat{G}_4 - \frac{2}{3}\hat{\nabla}^2\hat{R}\right)\phi\right\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\sqrt{-g}\Delta_4$ is the conformally invariant fourth-order differential operator and G_4 is the Euler density. The quantities with the hat are defined in terms of the background metric $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$. The coefficient b_1 is the positive-definite constant[2] and thus the action is bounded from below (in Wick-rotated Euclidean spacetime).

The Riegert-Wess-Zumino action has been quantized in [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18], which is just the four dimensional counter quantity of the Liouville-Polyakov action [1]. The Weyl action has been quantized perturbatively by introducing the coupling constant t indicating the asymptotic freedom [7, 8]. It has been shown that the algebra of diffeomorphism symmetry (1.2) is closed in this system without R^2 action at the quantum level [14, 16, 18] as indicated from the Wess-Zumino integrability condition [23, 24, 9].

In this paper, the background is practically chosen to be $R\times S^3$ with unit S^3 as a regularization to manage dimensionless fields.[3] The Riegert field is expanded in scalar harmonics on S^3, which is decomposed into three parts of creation mode, zero-mode, and annihilation mode as $\phi = \phi_> + \phi_0 + \phi_<$ with $\phi_0 = \phi_0^\dagger$ and $\phi_> = \phi_<^\dagger$, where

---

[2] It has been computed to be $b_1 = 769/180 + (N_X + 11N_W/2 + 62N_A)/360$, where the first term comes from gravitational loop corrections [11, 13] and N_X, N_W, and N_A are numbers of scalar fields, Weyl fermions, and gauge fields, respectively [25, 26, 27].

[3] The analysis on Minkowski background M^4 has been carried out in [18]. The result is consistent with this case as expected from the background free nature. Also, see Appendix A in [18] on the proof of the background-metric independence.

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_0 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2b_1}}\left(\hat{q}+\eta\hat{p}\right), \\ \phi_< &= \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{b_1}}\left\{\sum_{J\geq\frac{1}{2}}\sum_N \frac{a_{JN}e^{-i2J\eta}Y_{JN}}{\sqrt{J(2J+1)}} + \sum_{J\geq 0}\sum_N \frac{b_{JN}e^{-i(2J+2)\eta}Y_{JN}}{\sqrt{(J+1)(2J+1)}}\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

The commutation relations are given by $[\hat{q},\hat{p}] = i$ and $\left[a_{J_1M_1}, a^\dagger_{J_2M_2}\right] = -\left[b_{J_1M_1}, b^\dagger_{J_2M_2}\right] = \delta_{J_1J_2}\delta_{M_1M_2}$, where a_{JM} and b_{JM} are the positive-metric and negative-metric modes, respectively. The conventions and notations for indices and various tools on $R\times S^3$ are summarized in Appendix A.

The 15 conformal Killing vectors on $R\times S^3$ are denoted as $\zeta^\mu = \eta^\mu, \zeta^\mu_{MN}, \zeta^\mu_M, \zeta^{\mu *}_M$ and their concrete forms are gathered in Appendix A. The generator of diffeomorphism symmetry that forms conformal algebra is given by $Q_\zeta = \int d\Omega_3 \zeta^\mu \hat{T}_{\mu 0}$, where $\hat{T}_{\mu\nu}$ is the stress tensor derived from the combined system of Riegert-Wess-Zumino and Weyl actions.

The 15 generators for the Riegert sector are represented as follows [12, 14, 15, 16]. The Hamiltonian is $H = \hat{p}^2/2 + b_1 + \sum_{J,M}\{2Ja^\dagger_{JM}a_{JM} - (2J+2)b^\dagger_{JM}b_{JM}\}$, where the constant energy shift b_1 is the Casimir effect. The 6 generators of the rotation group $SU(2)\times SU(2)$ on S^3 are denoted by R_{MN} with the properties $R^\dagger_{MN} = R_{NM}$ and $R_{MN} = -\epsilon_M\epsilon_N R_{-N-M}$, which are not depicted here. The 4 generators of special conformal transformations have the form

$$\begin{aligned} Q_M &= \left(\sqrt{2b_1} - i\hat{p}\right) a_{\frac{1}{2}M} \\ &\quad + \sum_{J\geq 0}\sum_{M_1}\sum_{M_2} \mathbf{C}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{JM_1, J+\frac{1}{2}M_2}\Big\{\sqrt{2J(2J+2)}\epsilon_{M_1} a^\dagger_{J-M_1} a_{J+\frac{1}{2}M_2} \\ &\quad -\sqrt{(2J+1)(2J+3)}\epsilon_{M_1} b^\dagger_{J-M_1} b_{J+\frac{1}{2}M_2} + \epsilon_{M_2} a^\dagger_{J+\frac{1}{2}-M_2} b_{JM_1}\Big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where the $SU(2)\times SU(2)$ Clebsch-Gordan $\mathbf{C}$-coefficient is defined by (A.1). The Hermitian conjugates $Q^\dagger_M$ are also the generators of special conformal transformations. These 15 generators form the conformal algebra of $SO(4,2)$ as follows:[4]

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[Q_M, Q_N^\dagger\right] &= 2\delta_{MN}H + 2R_{MN}, \\
[H, Q_M] &= -Q_M, \quad [H, R_{MN}] = 0, \\
[Q_M, Q_N] &= 0, \quad [Q_M, R_{NL}] = \delta_{ML}Q_N - \epsilon_N\epsilon_L\delta_{M-N}Q_{-L}, \\
[R_{MN}, R_{LK}] &= \delta_{MK}R_{LN} - \epsilon_M\epsilon_N\delta_{-NK}R_{L-M} \\
&\quad -\delta_{NL}R_{MK} + \epsilon_M\epsilon_N\delta_{-ML}R_{-NK}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

The significant property of the generator Q_M (2.3) is that this generator mixes the positive-metric and negative-metric modes due to the presence of the last cross term. Consequently, both of these modes can not be gauge invariant alone and therefore they themselves have no physical meanings.

The same situation holds in the case of the traceless tensor field as well. The generator has been constructed from the Weyl action in [14] and its physical properties have been investigated in [15, 16], which are briefly summarized in Appendix B.

3 BRST Operator

The gauge ghost c^μ satisfying the conformal Killing equation $\hat\nabla_\mu c_\nu + \hat\nabla_\nu c_\mu - \hat g_{\mu\nu}\hat\nabla_\lambda c^\lambda/2 = 0$ is expanded by 15 Grassmannian modes c, c_{MN}, c_M, $\mathrm{c}_M^\dagger$ as

$$c^\mu = \mathrm{c}\eta^\mu + \sum_M \left(\mathrm{c}_M^\dagger \zeta_M^\mu + \mathrm{c}_M \zeta_M^{\mu *}\right) + \sum_{M,N} \mathrm{c}_{MN}\zeta_{MN}^\mu. \tag{3.1}$$

We also introduce the antighost modes b, b_{MN}, b_M, $\mathrm{b}_M^\dagger$. Here, c and b are real operators and c_{MN} and b_{MN} satisfy the relations $\mathrm{c}_{MN}^\dagger = \mathrm{c}_{NM}$,

---

[4] Parameterizing the 4-vector index $\{(1/2,1/2),(1/2,-1/2),(-1/2,1/2),(-1/2,-1/2)\}$ by $\{1,2,3,4\}$, and setting $A_+ = R_{31}$, $A_- = R_{31}^\dagger$, $A_3 = (R_{11}+R_{22})/2$, $B_+ = R_{21}$, $B_- = R_{21}^\dagger$ and $B_3 = (R_{11}-R_{22})/2$, the last rotation algebra is written in the familiar form of the $SU(2)\times SU(2)$ algebra as $[A_+, A_-] = 2A_3$, $[A_3, A_\pm] = \pm A_\pm$, $[B_+, B_-] = 2B_3$, $[B_3, B_\pm] = \pm B_\pm$, where $A_{\pm,3}$ and $B_{\pm,3}$ commute. The generators $A_{\pm,3}(B_{\pm,3})$ act on the left(right) index of $M = (m, m')$.

$c_{MN} = -\epsilon_M \epsilon_N c_{-N-M}$, $b^\dagger_{MN} = b_{NM}$ and $b_{MN} = -\epsilon_M \epsilon_N b_{-N-M}$. The anticommutation relations among these modes are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \{b, c\} &= 1, \\ \{b_{MN}, c_{LK}\} &= \delta_{ML}\delta_{NK} - \epsilon_M \epsilon_N \delta_{-MK}\delta_{-NL}, \\ \{b^\dagger_M, c_N\} &= \{b_M, c^\dagger_N\} = \delta_{MN}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

In the later computation, it is useful to know that the gauge ghost modes satisfy $\sum_M c_{MM} = 0$ and $\sum_M \epsilon_M c_{-M} c_M = 0$ and the antighost modes also satisfy the similar equations.

Using these gauge ghost and antighost modes, we can construct the 15 generators of conformal symmetry, which are given by [28, 15]

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\mathrm{gh}} &= \sum_M \left(c^\dagger_M b_M - c_M b^\dagger_M \right), \\ R^{\mathrm{gh}}_{MN} &= -c_M b^\dagger_N + c^\dagger_N b_M + \epsilon_M \epsilon_N \left(c_{-N} b^\dagger_{-M} - c^\dagger_{-M} b_{-N} \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_L \left(c_{LM} b_{LN} - c_{NL} b_{ML} \right), \\ Q^{\mathrm{gh}}_M &= -2c_M b - c b_M - \sum_L \left(2c_{LM} b_L + c_L b_{ML} \right), \\ Q^{\mathrm{gh}\dagger}_M &= 2c^\dagger_M b + c b^\dagger_M + \sum_L \left(2c_{ML} b^\dagger_L + c^\dagger_L b_{LM} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

These generators satisfy the same conformal algebra as (2.4). In the following, we write the full generators of conformal algebra including the gauge ghost part as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= H + H^{\mathrm{gh}}, \qquad \mathcal{R}_{MN} = R_{MN} + R^{\mathrm{gh}}_{MN}, \\ \mathcal{Q}_M &= Q_M + Q^{\mathrm{gh}}_M, \qquad \mathcal{Q}^\dagger_M = Q^\dagger_M + Q^{\mathrm{gh}\dagger}_M. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The BRST operator generating diffeomorphism (1.2) is now given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\mathrm{BRST}} &= cH + \sum_M \left(c^\dagger_M Q_M + c_M Q^\dagger_M \right) + \sum_{M,N} c_{MN} R_{MN} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} c H^{\mathrm{gh}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_M \left(c^\dagger_M Q^{\mathrm{gh}}_M + c_M Q^{\mathrm{gh}\dagger}_M \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{M,N} c_{MN} R^{\mathrm{gh}}_{MN} \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

satisfying the Hermitian condition $Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}^{\dagger} = Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}$. It can be written in the following form:

$$
Q_{\mathrm{BRST}} = \mathrm{c}\mathcal{H} + \sum_{M,N} \mathrm{c}_{MN}\mathcal{R}_{MN} - \mathrm{b}M - \sum_{M,N} \mathrm{b}_{MN} Y_{MN} + \hat{Q}, \tag{3.6}
$$

where the full generators $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{MN}$ defined above come out. The other operators M, Y_{MN} and $\hat{Q}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
M &= 2\sum_{M} \mathrm{c}_M^{\dagger}\mathrm{c}_M, \qquad Y_{MN} = \mathrm{c}_M^{\dagger}\mathrm{c}_N + \sum_{L} \mathrm{c}_{ML}\mathrm{c}_{LN}, \\
\hat{Q} &= \sum_{M} \left(\mathrm{c}_M^{\dagger} Q_M + \mathrm{c}_M Q_M^{\dagger} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}
$$

The nilpotency of BRST operator can be shown by using the expression (3.6) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}^2 &= \hat{Q}^2 - M\mathcal{H} - 2\sum_{M,N} \mathrm{c}_M^{\dagger}\mathrm{c}_N \left[\mathcal{R}_{MN} + \sum_{L} \left(\mathrm{c}_{LM}\mathrm{b}_{LN} - \mathrm{c}_{NL}\mathrm{b}_{ML} \right) \right] \\
&= \hat{Q}^2 - MH - 2\sum_{M,N} \mathrm{c}_M^{\dagger}\mathrm{c}_N R_{MN} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}
$$

where the conformal algebra (2.4) is used.

The anticommutation relations of BRST operator with gauge ghost modes are calculated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}, \mathrm{c}\} &= -2\sum_{M} \mathrm{c}_M^{\dagger}\mathrm{c}_M, \\
\{Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}, \mathrm{c}_{MN}\} &= -\mathrm{c}_M^{\dagger}\mathrm{c}_N - \epsilon_M\epsilon_N \mathrm{c}_{-M}\mathrm{c}_{-N}^{\dagger} + 2\sum_{L} \mathrm{c}_{ML}\mathrm{c}_{LN}, \\
\{Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}, \mathrm{c}_M\} &= \mathrm{c}_M\mathrm{c} + 2\sum_{N} \mathrm{c}_N\mathrm{c}_{NM}, \\
\left\{Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}, \mathrm{c}_M^{\dagger}\right\} &= \mathrm{c}\mathrm{c}_M^{\dagger} + 2\sum_{N} \mathrm{c}_{MN}\mathrm{c}_N^{\dagger}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}
$$

and those with antighost modes are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}, \mathrm{b}\} &= \mathcal{H}, \qquad \{Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}, \mathrm{b}_{MN}\} = 2\mathcal{R}_{MN}, \\
\{Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}, \mathrm{b}_M\} &= \mathcal{Q}_M, \qquad \left\{Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}, \mathrm{b}_M^{\dagger}\right\} = \mathcal{Q}_M^{\dagger},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}
$$

where the full generators appear in the right-hand side. From (3.10), the nilpotency of BRST operator represents $[Q_{\rm BRST}, \mathcal{H}] = [Q_{\rm BRST}, \mathcal{R}_{MN}] = [Q_{\rm BRST}, \mathcal{Q}_M] = [Q_{\rm BRST}, \mathcal{Q}^\dagger_M] = 0$.

4 Physical Fields

In this section, we develop the studies for physical field operators achieved in the previous paper [17] in the context of the BRST formalism.

For each generator of conformal algebra, the Riegert field transforms as

$$\begin{aligned} i\,[H,\phi] &= \partial_\eta \phi, \qquad i\,[R_{MN},\phi] = \hat{\nabla}_j \left(\zeta^j_{MN}\phi \right), \\ i[Q_M,\phi] &= \zeta^\mu_M \hat{\nabla}_\mu \phi + \frac{1}{4}\hat{\nabla}_\mu \zeta^\mu_M, \quad i[Q^\dagger_M,\phi] = \zeta^{\mu*}_M \hat{\nabla}_\mu \phi + \frac{1}{4}\hat{\nabla}_\mu \zeta^{\mu*}_M. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Here, the third equation is given by the sum of the equations

$$\begin{aligned} i\,[Q_M,\phi_>] &= \zeta^\mu_M \hat{\nabla}_\mu \phi_> + \zeta^0_M \partial_\eta \phi_0 + \frac{1}{4}\hat{\nabla}_\mu \zeta^\mu_M, \\ i\,[Q_M,\phi_0+\phi_<] &= \zeta^\mu_M \hat{\nabla}_\mu \phi_< \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

and the fourth equation is the Hermitian conjugate of the third one.

Using the BRST operator, we find that the transformation laws (4.1) can be summarized into the single equation,

$$i\,[Q_{\rm BRST},\phi] = c^\mu \hat{\nabla}_\mu \phi + \frac{1}{4}\hat{\nabla}_\mu c^\mu. \tag{4.3}$$

The right-hand side is the BRST transformation $\delta_{\rm B}\phi$ in (1.2). And also, the BRST transformation of gauge ghost is given by the anticommuation relation as

$$\begin{aligned} i\,\{Q_{\rm BRST}, c^\mu\} &= -2i \sum_M {\rm c}^\dagger_M {\rm c}_M \eta^\mu - i \sum_{M,N} \left({\rm c}^\dagger_M {\rm c}_N + \epsilon_M \epsilon_N {\rm c}_{-M} {\rm c}^\dagger_{-N} \right) \zeta^\mu_{MN} \\ &\quad +2i \sum_{M,N,L} {\rm c}_{ML} {\rm c}_{LN} \zeta^\mu_{MN} + i \sum_M \left({\rm c}{\rm c}^\dagger_M \zeta^\mu_M + {\rm c}_M {\rm c} \zeta^{\mu*}_M \right) \\ &\quad +2i \sum_{M,N} {\rm c}_{MN} {\rm c}^\dagger_N \zeta^\mu_M + 2i \sum_{M,N} {\rm c}_N {\rm c}_{NM} \zeta^{\mu*}_M \\ &= c^\nu \hat{\nabla}_\nu c^\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Here, to show the second equality, we use the Grassmannian nature of gauge ghosts and the product expansions of scalar harmonics (A.3).

In the following, the BRST invariant fields composed of Riegert field and gauge ghost only are studied with two examples corresponding to the cosmological constant term and the Ricci scalar curvature.

Cosmological constant term We first study the field operator given by the purely exponential function of Riegert field. The normal ordering of such a composite operator is defined by

$$V_\alpha =: e^{\alpha\phi} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{n!} :\phi^n := e^{\alpha\phi_>} e^{\alpha\phi_0} e^{\alpha\phi_<}. \tag{4.5}$$

The zero-mode part can be written as $e^{\alpha\phi_0} = e^{\hat{q}\alpha/\sqrt{2b_1}} e^{\eta\hat{p}\alpha/\sqrt{2b_1}} e^{-i\eta\alpha^2/4b_1}$. The constant α, called the Riegert charge, represents a quantum correction determined by the BRST invariance condition below, which is real to reflect that V_α is a gravitational quantity.

Using the commutation relations given in (4.1), we find that this field satisfies $i[H, V_\alpha] = \partial_\eta V_\alpha$ and $i[R_{MN}, V_\alpha] = \hat{\nabla}_j(\zeta^j_{MN} V_\alpha)$. For the special conformal transformation, we find

$$i\,[Q_M, V_\alpha] = \zeta^\mu_M \hat{\nabla}_\mu V_\alpha + \frac{h_\alpha}{4} \hat{\nabla}_\mu \zeta^\mu_M V_\alpha, \tag{4.6}$$

where h_α is the conformal weight of the field computed to be

$$h_\alpha = \alpha - \frac{\alpha^2}{4b_1}. \tag{4.7}$$

Since V_α is real, the commutator between $Q^\dagger_M$ and V_α is given by the right-hand side of (4.6) with $\zeta^{\mu*}_M$ instead of ζ^μ_M. These equations are summarized into the single equation using the BRST operator as

$$i\,[Q_{\rm BRST}, V_\alpha] = c^\mu \hat{\nabla}_\mu V_\alpha + \frac{h_\alpha}{4} \hat{\nabla}_\mu c^\mu V_\alpha. \tag{4.8}$$

Thus, the spacetime volume integral of V_α with definite conformal weight $h_\alpha = 4$ commutes with the BRST operator, namely commutes with all generators of conformal algebra, as

$$i\left[Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}, \int d\Omega_4 V_\alpha\right] = \int d\Omega_4 \hat{\nabla}_\mu \left(c^\mu V_\alpha\right) = 0, \tag{4.9}$$

where $d\Omega_4 = d\eta d\Omega_3$ is the spacetime volume element.

Furthermore, we can make the field BRST invariant locally by introducing the function of gauge ghost contracted by the totally antisymmetric ϵ-tensor,

$$\omega = \frac{1}{4!}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} c^\mu c^\nu c^\lambda c^\sigma. \tag{4.10}$$

This function transforms as

$$i\left[Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}, \omega\right] = c^\mu \hat{\nabla}_\mu \omega = -\omega \hat{\nabla}_\mu c^\mu, \tag{4.11}$$

where the transformation law of c^μ (4.4) and $c^\mu \omega = 0$ are used. Using this commutator we can show that the product ωV_α becomes BRST invariant without integrating over the spacetime volume as

$$i\left[Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}, \omega V_\alpha\right] = \frac{1}{4}\left(h_\alpha - 4\right) \omega \hat{\nabla}_\mu c^\mu V_\alpha = 0 \tag{4.12}$$

provided $h_\alpha = 4$.

There are two solutions for the equation $h_\alpha = 4$. We select the solution that approaches the canonical value 4 in the classical limit of $b_1 \to \infty$ corresponding to the large number limit of matter fields coupled to gravity (see footnote 2). The quantum cosmological constant term is thus identified to be V_α with the Riegert charge

$$\alpha = 2b_1\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{b_1}}\right). \tag{4.13}$$

The constant α is real due to $b_1 > 4$ as mentioned before. In the following, we take α to be this value.

The another solution of $h_\alpha = 4$ is given by $4b_1 - \alpha$ due to the duality relation $h_\alpha = h_{4b_1-\alpha}$. The operator $V_{4b_1-\alpha}$ does not reduce to the canonical form of the cosmological constant term at the classical limit, but two operators V_α and $V_{4b_1-\alpha}$ are regarded as adjoints of one another in the presence of the background charge as discussed in Section 6.

Ricci scalar curvature Next, we study the field operator with derivatives. Because of the rotation invariance, the number of derivatives must be even. We here consider the real scalar field W_β with two derivatives that satisfies the following transformation laws: $i[H, W_\beta] = \partial_\eta W_\beta$, $i[R_{MN}, W_\beta] = \hat{\nabla}_j(\zeta^j_{MN} W_\beta)$ and

$$i\,[Q_M, W_\beta] = \zeta^\mu_M \hat{\nabla}_\mu W_\beta + \frac{h_\beta + 2}{4} \hat{\nabla}_\mu \zeta^\mu_M W_\beta. \tag{4.14}$$

The equation for $Q^\dagger_M$ is given by Hermitian conjugate of (4.14). β represents the Riegert charge and $h_\beta + 2$ is the conformal weight of the field, where h_β is defined by (4.7) and 2 represents the number of derivatives.

The conditions for the Hamiltonian and the rotation generator are rather simple, but the condition (4.14) is so strong as to determine the form of the field uniquely. We find that the following operator satisfies these conditions:[5]

$$\begin{aligned} W_\beta &= \,:e^{\beta\phi}\left(\hat{\nabla}^2\phi + \frac{\beta}{h_\beta}\hat{\nabla}_\mu\phi\hat{\nabla}^\mu\phi - \frac{h_\beta}{\beta}\right): \\ &= W^1_\beta + \frac{\beta}{h_\beta} W^2_\beta - \frac{h_\beta}{\beta} V_\beta \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} W^1_\beta &= \hat{\nabla}^2\phi_> V_\beta + V_\beta \hat{\nabla}^2 \phi_<, \\ W^2_\beta &= -\frac{1}{4}\partial_\eta\phi_0\partial_\eta\phi_0 V_\beta - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\eta\phi_0 V_\beta \partial_\eta\phi_0 - \frac{1}{4} V_\beta \partial_\eta\phi_0\partial_\eta\phi_0 \\ &\quad -\partial_\eta\phi_0\left(\partial_\eta\phi_> V_\beta + V_\beta\partial_\eta\phi_<\right) - \left(\partial_\eta\phi_> V_\beta + V_\beta\partial_\eta\phi_<\right)\partial_\eta\phi_0 \\ &\quad +\hat{\nabla}_\mu\phi_>\hat{\nabla}^\mu\phi_> V_\beta + 2\hat{\nabla}_\mu\phi_> V_\beta \hat{\nabla}^\mu\phi_< + V_\beta\hat{\nabla}_\mu\phi_<\hat{\nabla}^\mu\phi_<, \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

where $\hat{\nabla}^2 = \hat{\nabla}_\mu\hat{\nabla}^\mu$ and V_β has been defined by (4.5).

Thus, the spacetime volume integral of W_β with $h_\beta = 2$ commutes with all generators of conformal algebra. It is simply expressed in terms of the BRST operator as

$$\left[Q_{\rm BRST}, \int d\Omega_4 W_\beta\right] = 0. \tag{4.17}$$

---

[5] This operator is slightly different from the Ricci scalar operator given in [17]. According to this change, we correct the discussion held there.

The quantum Ricci scalar curvature is now identified to be W_β with the Riegert charge

$$\beta = 2b_1 \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2}{b_1}} \right) , \tag{4.18}$$

which is one of the solutions of $h_\beta = 2$. The operator W_β then reduces to the classical form of the Ricci scalar curvature $\sqrt{-g}R$ divided by -6 because of $\beta \to 2$ and $\beta/h_\beta \to 1$ in the large b_1 limit.[6] In the following, β is fixed to be this value.

Due to the duality relation $h_\beta = h_{4b_1-\beta}$, another BRST invariant operator has the form $W_{4b_1-\beta}$. This operator is the adjoint of the Ricci scalar operator W_β, but does not have the classical limit.

As in the case of V_α, using the gauge ghost function (4.10), we find

$$[Q_{\rm BRST}, \omega W_\beta] = 0. \tag{4.19}$$

Here, note that this BRST invariance condition is stronger than the condition (4.17) because the condition (4.17) holds up to total divergences as discussed in [17].

In general, as is clear from the construction, the physical field is given by a scalar field with conformal weight 4, while the field that tensor indices retain is excluded from physical fields because such a field does not become gauge invariant under rotations and special conformal transformations due to the presence of spin terms.

5 Physical States

Let us study the BRST invariant state $|\Psi\rangle$ satisfying the condition

$$Q_{\rm BRST}|\Psi\rangle = 0. \tag{5.1}$$

---

[6] The classical form of the Ricci scalar curvature is given by $d^4x\sqrt{-g}R = d\Omega_4 e^{2\phi}(-6\hat{\nabla}^2\phi - 6\hat{\nabla}_\mu\phi\hat{\nabla}^\mu\phi + 6)$ on the $R \times S^3$ background with unit S^3.

First, we define various vacuum states. The vacuum of Fock space annihilated by the zero-mode $\hat{p}$ and annihilation modes a_{JM} and b_{JM} is denoted by $|0\rangle$. We also introduce the conformally invariant vacuum annihilated by all generators of the conformal symmetry except gauge ghost parts, H, R_{MN}, Q_M and $Q_M^\dagger$, which is defined by $|\Omega\rangle = e^{-2b_1 \phi_0(0)}|0\rangle$, where $\phi_0(0) = \hat{q}/\sqrt{2b_1}$. This vacuum and its Hermitian conjugate have the background charge $-2b_1$, respectively, and thus the vacuums have the background charge $-4b_1$ in total.[7]

The conformally invariant vacuum of the gauge ghost sector is denoted by $|0\rangle_{\rm gh}$, which is annihilated by all generators of the gauge ghost system (3.3), namely annihilated by all antighosts, but not annihilated by gauge ghosts. Using this, the Fock vacuum of gauge ghost system annihilated by the annihilation modes c_M and b_M is given by $\prod_M \mathrm{c}_M |0\rangle_{\rm gh}$.

Since the Hamiltonian neither depend on c and c_{MN} nor on b and b_{MN}, the gauge ghost vacuum $\prod \mathrm{c}_M |0\rangle_{\rm gh}$ is degenerate. The degenerate partners are then given by acting c and $\prod \mathrm{c}_{MN}$ to this vacuum. The norm structure will be discussed in the next section.

For convenience, we denote the Fock vacuum state with the Riegert charge γ by

$$|\gamma\rangle = e^{\gamma \phi_0(0)}|\Omega\rangle \otimes \prod_M \mathrm{c}_M |0\rangle_{\rm gh}. \tag{5.2}$$

This state satisfies $\mathcal{H}|\gamma\rangle = (h_\gamma - 4)|\gamma\rangle$, where $i\hat{p}|\gamma\rangle = (\gamma/\sqrt{2b_1} - \sqrt{2b_1})|\gamma\rangle$ is used and -4 comes from the gauge ghost sector.

The physical state is constructed by acting the creation modes such as $a_{JM}^\dagger$, $b_{JM}^\dagger$, $\mathrm{c}_M^\dagger$, $\mathrm{b}_M^\dagger$ and $\hat{p}$ to the Fock vacuum (5.2), where $\hat{p}$ may be replaced by the number. Since $\{Q_{\rm BRST}, \mathrm{b}\} = \mathcal{H}$ and $\{Q_{\rm BRST}, \mathrm{b}_{MN}\} = 2\mathcal{R}_{MN}$ and the Fock vacuum is annihilated by b and b_{MN}, we merely consider the subspace satisfying the conditions

$$\mathcal{H}|\Psi\rangle = \mathcal{R}_{MN}|\Psi\rangle = 0, \quad \mathrm{b}|\Psi\rangle = \mathrm{b}_{MN}|\Psi\rangle = 0. \tag{5.3}$$

---

[7] The background charge originates from the linear term in the Riegert-Wess-Zumino action (2.1).

On this subspace, from the expression of the BRST operator (3.6), the BRST invariant state coincides with the $\hat{Q}$ invariant state.

For a while, we analyze physical states in the subspace (5.3) described in the following form:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \mathcal{A}\left(\hat{p}, a^\dagger_{JM}, b^\dagger_{JM}, \cdots\right)|\gamma\rangle, \tag{5.4}$$

where the dots denote creation modes of other fields except gauge ghosts. The operator $\mathcal{A}$ and the Riegert charge γ will be determined from the BRST invariance condition below. The cases that $\mathcal{A}$ includes creation modes of gauge ghosts and antighosts will be discussed later.

Since $\mathrm{c}_M|\Psi\rangle = 0$ for the state (5.4), the $\hat{Q}$-invariance condition is expressed as

$$\hat{Q}|\Psi\rangle = \sum_M \mathrm{c}^\dagger_M Q_M|\Psi\rangle = 0. \tag{5.5}$$

Thus, together with the Hamiltonian and rotation invariance conditions in (5.3), we reproduce the physical state conditions

$$(H-4)|\Psi\rangle = R_{MN}|\Psi\rangle = Q_M|\Psi\rangle = 0 \tag{5.6}$$

studied in [14, 15, 16]. Here, the condition for $Q^\dagger_M$ is not necessary.

The BRST invariance condition for $|\Psi\rangle$ is now equivalent to the condition that the operator $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the algebra

$$[H, \mathcal{A}] = l\mathcal{A}, \quad [R_{MN}, \mathcal{A}] = 0, \quad [Q_M, \mathcal{A}] = 0. \tag{5.7}$$

The first condition represents that $\mathcal{A}$ has the conformal weight $l(\geq 0)$. By solving the Hamiltonian condition $h_\gamma + l - 4 = 0$ in (5.6), the Riegert charge γ is determined to

$$\gamma_l = 2b_1\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4-l}{b_1}}\right). \tag{5.8}$$

Here, we choose the solution that γ approaches the canonical value $4-l$ in the large b_1 limit. The charges γ_0 and γ_2 correspond to α and β defined before, respectively.

In order to find the operator $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying the second and third conditions of (5.7), we seek creation operators that commute with the generator Q_M and then combine them in a rotation invariant way. Since there is no creation mode that commutes with Q_M for the Riegert field, we look for operators constructed in a bilinear form. Such operators have been studied in the previous papers [15, 16]. Using the crossing properties of the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, we find that for the Riegert sector there are two types of Q_M-invariant creation operators with conformal weight $2L$ for integers $L \geq 1$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^\dagger_{LN} &= \chi(\hat{p}, L) a^\dagger_{LN} + \sum_{K=\frac{1}{2}}^{L-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{M_1} \sum_{M_2} x(L,K) \mathbf{C}^{LN}_{L-KM_1,KM_2} a^\dagger_{L-KM_1} a^\dagger_{KM_2}, \\
\mathcal{S}^\dagger_{L-1N} &= \psi(\hat{p}) b^\dagger_{L-1N} + \sum_{K=\frac{1}{2}}^{L-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{M_1} \sum_{M_2} x(L,K) \mathbf{C}^{L-1N}_{L-KM_1,KM_2} a^\dagger_{L-KM_1} a^\dagger_{KM_2} \\
&\quad + \sum_{K=\frac{1}{2}}^{L-1} \sum_{M_1,M_2} y(L,K) \mathbf{C}^{L-1N}_{L-K-1M_1,KM_2} b^\dagger_{L-K-1M_1} a^\dagger_{KM_2},
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.9}
$$

where

$$
x(L,K) = \frac{(-1)^{2K}}{\sqrt{(2L-2K+1)(2K+1)}} \sqrt{\begin{pmatrix} 2L \\ 2K \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2L-2 \\ 2K-1 \end{pmatrix}} \tag{5.10}
$$

and $y(L,K) = -2\sqrt{(2L-2K-1)(2L-2K+1)}x(L,K)$. The zero-mode operators are given by $\chi(\hat{p}, L) = \sqrt{2}(\sqrt{2b_1} - i\hat{p})/\sqrt{(2L-1)(2L+1)}$ and $\psi(\hat{p}) = -\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{2b_1} - i\hat{p})$.[8] For any half-integer L, there is no such a operator. These operators for the lower L are written down in Appendix C.

By joining these bilinear operators using the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, we can construct the basis of Q_M-invariant creation operators in the Riegert sector. Due to the crossing properties of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, any Q_M-invariant creation operators will be expressed in such a fundamental form. Thus, these two types of Q_M-invariant bilinear operators are expected to be the building blocks of physical states. Thus, the physical state $|\Psi\rangle$ (5.4) is now written in the form $\mathcal{A}(S^\dagger, \mathcal{S}^\dagger, \cdots)|\gamma\rangle$, where the dots denote building blocks for other fields. Since building blocks have even conformal weights, the weight l for $\mathcal{A}$ is given by even integers, which corresponds to the number of derivatives for physical fields.

---

[8] Here, we correct an error in the previous papers as follows: $\chi(\hat{p}, L)$ is twice of that given in [15, 16].

As a example, we here present the lower l physical states up to 4 in the following. The lowest weight state is simply given by $|\alpha\rangle$, which corresponds to the cosmological constant term, and the second lowest state with $l = 2$ is given by $\mathcal{S}^\dagger_{00}|\beta\rangle$, which corresponds to the Ricci scalar curvature, where we use the notations α and β for γ_0 and γ_2, respectively. For $l = 4$, there are two states $\left(\mathcal{S}^\dagger_{00}\right)^2|\gamma_4\rangle$ and $\sum_N \epsilon_N S^\dagger_{1-N} S^\dagger_{1N}|\gamma_4\rangle$ corresponding to the square of Ricci scalar and the other four derivative scalar quantity such as the Euler density, respectively.

At $l = 4$, there is another gravitational physical state. From the Weyl sector summarized in Appendix B, we find the physical state $\sum_{M,x} \epsilon_M c^\dagger_{1(-Mx)} c^\dagger_{1(Mx)}|\gamma_4\rangle$ corresponding to the square of Weyl tensor. Here, $c^\dagger_{1(Mx)}$ is the lowest creation mode of tensor field, which is the only creation mode that commutes with Q_M.

For other modes in the Weyl sector, we have to also consider Q_M-invariant building blocks written in a bilinear form. The higher weight gravitational state is generally given by combining building blocks both for Riegert and Weyl sectors in a rotation invariant way.

Finally, we discuss the cases with gauge ghost and antighost creation modes $\mathrm{c}^\dagger_M$ and $\mathrm{b}^\dagger_M$. For $l = 2$, we obtain the another BRST invariant state

$$\left\{ -\left(\sqrt{2b_1} - i\hat{p}\right)^2 \sum_M \epsilon_M \mathrm{b}^\dagger_{-M} \mathrm{c}^\dagger_M + \hat{h} \sum_M \epsilon_M a^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}-M} a^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}M} \right\} |\beta\rangle, \tag{5.11}$$

where $\hat{h} = \hat{p}^2/2 + b_1$. However, we can show that this state is equivalent to the physical state given above up to the BRST trivial state as follows.

Introduce the state

$$|\Upsilon\rangle = \left(\sqrt{2b_1} - i\hat{p}\right) \sum_M \epsilon_M \mathrm{b}^\dagger_{-M} a^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}M} |\beta\rangle \tag{5.12}$$

satisfying the conditions $\mathcal{H}|\Upsilon\rangle = \mathcal{R}_{MN}|\Upsilon\rangle = \mathrm{b}|\Upsilon\rangle = \mathrm{b}_{MN}|\Upsilon\rangle = 0$. Acting the BRST operator to this state, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}|\Upsilon\rangle \;=\; & \Big\{ -\left(\sqrt{2b_1} - i\hat{p}\right)^2 \sum_M \epsilon_M \mathrm{b}^\dagger_{-M} \mathrm{c}^\dagger_M + 4\left(\sqrt{2b_1} - i\hat{p}\right) b^\dagger_{00} \\ & \qquad + 2\hat{h} \sum_M \epsilon_M a^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}-M} a^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}M} \Big\} |\beta\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Thus, the state (5.11) can be written in the form

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \mathcal{S}^\dagger_{00}|\beta\rangle + Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}|\Upsilon\rangle, \tag{5.14}$$

where $\hat{h}|\beta\rangle = 2|\beta\rangle$ is used.

In general, it seems that the physical state explicitly depending on gauge ghosts and antighosts like this reduces to the standard form (5.4) up to the BRST trivial state. So, we consider only such a standard form throughout this paper.

6 State-Operator Correspondences and Norm Structures

In this section, we discuss various significant properties such as the state-operator correspondence, the adjoint of physical state and the norm structure with attention to the presence of the background charge.

Consider the physical state with the Riegert charge γ and the corresponding physical field operator O_γ satisfying the BRST invariance condition $[Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}, \omega O_\gamma] = 0$. The state-operator correspondence is given by the following limit:

$$\lim_{\eta \to i\infty} e^{-4i\eta} O_\gamma |\Omega\rangle = |O_\gamma\rangle \tag{6.1}$$

apart from the gauge ghost sector.

For the physical fields V_α and W_β, for instance, we obtain the physical states as follows:

$$|V_\alpha\rangle = \lim_{\eta\to i\infty} e^{-4i\eta} V_\alpha |\Omega\rangle = \lim_{\eta\to i\infty} e^{i(-4+h_\alpha)\eta} e^{\alpha\phi_>} e^{\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2b_1}}\hat{q}} |\Omega\rangle = e^{\alpha\phi_0(0)}|\Omega\rangle \tag{6.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |W_\beta\rangle &= \lim_{\eta\to i\infty} e^{-4i\eta} W_\beta |\Omega\rangle \\ &= \lim_{\eta\to i\infty} e^{i(-4+h_\beta)\eta} \left\{ \hat{\nabla}^2\phi_> - 2i\partial_\eta\phi_> + \frac{\beta}{h_\beta}\hat{\nabla}_\mu\phi_>\hat{\nabla}^\mu\phi_> \right\} e^{\beta\phi_>} e^{\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{2b_1}}\hat{q}} |\Omega\rangle \\ &= -\frac{\beta}{2\sqrt{2}b_1} \mathcal{S}^\dagger_{00} e^{\beta\phi_0(0)} |\Omega\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

These limits just exist when $h_\alpha = 4$ and $h_\beta = 2$ as required.

Since the most singular term of the gauge ghost function (4.10) at the limit $\eta \to i\infty$ behaves as $\omega \propto e^{-4i\eta}\prod_M \mathrm{c}_M$, the state-operator correspondence including this function is given by

$$\lim_{\eta\to i\infty} \omega O_\gamma |\Omega\rangle \otimes |0\rangle_{\mathrm{gh}} \Leftrightarrow |O_\gamma\rangle \otimes \prod_M \mathrm{c}_M |0\rangle_{\mathrm{gh}}. \tag{6.4}$$

The right-hand side is the physical state discussed in Section 5.

Next, we consider the adjoint of the physical state $|O_\gamma\rangle \otimes \prod \mathrm{c}_M|0\rangle_{\mathrm{gh}}$. The adjoint of $|O_\gamma\rangle$ is denoted by $\langle\tilde{O}_\gamma|$, which is not the naive Hermitian conjugate $\langle O_\gamma|$ because the Riegert charge is not conserved in this case such that $\langle O_\gamma|O_\gamma\rangle$ is unnormalizable.[9] The state $\langle\tilde{O}_\gamma|$ is defined by using the other pair of the physical state derived from the duality relation $h_\gamma = h_{4b_1-\gamma}$.

Again, we consider the physical fields V_α and W_β. The adjoint of these fields are given by

$$\tilde{V}_\alpha = V_{4b_1-\alpha},$$

---

[9] The situation is the same as in the case of the Liouville gravity [4]. If the Riegert charge were purely imaginary such as $\gamma = ip$ and there were no background charges, physical fields could be normalizable as $\langle O_{-ip}|O_{ip}\rangle = 1$ as in the case of string theory [21, 22].

$$\tilde{W}_\beta = -\frac{b_1}{4} W_{4b_1-\beta} = -\frac{b_1}{4}\left(W^1_{4b_1-\beta} + \frac{4b_1-\beta}{h_\beta} W^2_{4b_1-\beta} - \frac{h_\beta}{4b_1-\beta} V_{4b_1-\beta} \right) \tag{6.5}$$

and the out-states corresponding to these fields are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{V}_\alpha| &= \lim_{\eta\to -i\infty} e^{4i\eta} \langle \Omega | \tilde{V}_\alpha = \langle \Omega | e^{(4b_1-\alpha)\phi_0(0)}, \\ \langle \tilde{W}_\beta| &= \lim_{\eta\to -i\infty} e^{4i\eta} \langle \Omega | \tilde{W}_\beta = \frac{4b_1-\beta}{8\sqrt{2}} \langle \Omega | e^{(4b_1-\beta)\phi_0(0)} \mathcal{S}_{00}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

They are normalized to be

$$\langle \tilde{V}_\alpha | V_\alpha \rangle = 1, \qquad \langle \tilde{W}_\beta | W_\beta \rangle = 1. \tag{6.7}$$

Here, $\langle \Omega | e^{4b_1\phi_0(0)} | \Omega \rangle = 1$ is used, which results from the Riegert charge conservation such that the charge $4b_1$ cancels the background charges in the conformally invariant in- and out-vacuums.

The naive inner products between gauge ghost vacuums and their Hermitian conjugates vanish as ${}_{\rm gh}\langle 0|0\rangle_{\rm gh} = {}_{\rm gh}\langle 0| \prod {\rm c}^\dagger_M \prod {\rm c}_M |0\rangle_{\rm gh} = 0$, which are easily confirmed by inserting the anticommutation relations $\{{\rm b}, {\rm c}\} = 1$ and $\{{\rm b}_{MN}, {\rm c}_{LK}\} = \delta_{ML}\delta_{NK} - \epsilon_M \epsilon_N \delta_{-MK}\delta_{-NL}$ into them. So, we normalize the gauge ghost sector by inserting the operator $\vartheta = i{\rm c} \prod {\rm c}_{MN}$ satisfying $\vartheta^\dagger = \vartheta$ as

$${}_{\rm gh}\langle 0| \prod {\rm c}^\dagger_M \vartheta \prod {\rm c}_M |0\rangle_{\rm gh} = 1. \tag{6.8}$$

Thus, the adjoint of the physical state $|O_\gamma\rangle \otimes \prod {\rm c}_M |0\rangle_{\rm gh}$ is given by $\langle \tilde{O}_\gamma| \otimes {}_{\rm gh}\langle 0| \prod {\rm c}^\dagger_M \vartheta$. In this way, we can always define the inner product of physical state normalized to be unity.

At last, we mention that the result (6.7) is consistent with the two point correlation function calculated to be [17]

$$\langle \Omega | \tilde{V}_\alpha(x) V_\alpha(0) | \Omega \rangle = \left(\frac{1}{L^2(\eta, \omega)} \right)^4. \tag{6.9}$$

Also, the correlation function between W_β and $\tilde{W}_\beta$ will be the same form. Here, the function L is defined through the operator product $\phi(x)\phi(0) = -(1/4b_1) \times \log L^2(\eta,\omega) + :\phi(x)\phi(0):$ as

$$L^2(\eta,\omega) = 2\left\{\cos\eta - \cos\frac{\omega}{2}\right\}, \tag{6.10}$$

where $-2\cos(\omega/2)$ is the spatial distance and the angle ω is defined in Appendix A.

7 Conclusion and Discussion

We have studied the background free quantum gravity realized at the UV limit of renormalizable quantum gravity in the context of BRST formalism. The nilpotent BRST operator generating diffeomorphism was constructed on the $R \times S^3$ background. Using it, we constructed the BRST invariant fields and states and studied various significant properties such as the state-operator correspondence and the norm structure.

The BRST invariant fields always appear in pairs due to the existence of the duality in Riegert charges. The physical field was identified with the one that reduces to the classical gravitational scalar quantity in the large b_1 limit corresponding to the large number limit of matter fields coupled to gravity.

The naive inner product between the physical state and its Hermitian conjugate is unnormalizable because the Riegert charge is not conserved. The adjoint of physical state is given by another one of the BRST invariant pair, which does not have the classical limit so that it is regarded to be a quantum virtual state. With this state, the Riegert charge can be conserved and we can define the inner product normalized to be unity.

Now, we discuss how to define correlation functions among physical fields with correct Riegert charge. Naively, they do not exist because the Riegert charge is not conserved as mentioned above. To define them, we should consider the model perturbed by the cosmological constant term, for instance, and then the constant mode of the Riegert field σ should be taken into account. Carrying out the path integral over the constant mode $A = e^{\alpha\sigma}$ first (in Wick-rotated Euclidean spacetime), we obtain the correlator in the perturbed theory $S_{\rm RWZ} + \mu \bar{V}_\alpha$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\langle \bar{O}_{\gamma_{l_1}} \cdots \bar{O}_{\gamma_{l_n}} \rangle\rangle &= \frac{1}{\alpha}\int_0^\infty \frac{dA}{A} A^{-s} \langle \bar{O}_{\gamma_{l_1}} \cdots \bar{O}_{\gamma_{l_n}} e^{-\mu A \bar{V}_\alpha} \rangle \\ &= \mu^s \frac{\Gamma(-s)}{\alpha} \langle \bar{O}_{\gamma_{l_1}} \cdots \bar{O}_{\gamma_{l_n}} \left(\bar{V}_\alpha \right)^s \rangle \qquad (7.1)\end{aligned}$$

with $s = (4b_1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{l_i})/\alpha$.[10] Here, μ is the cosmological constant. The bar on the field denotes that the field is integrated over the spacetime volume and $\langle \cdots \rangle$ represents the correlator in the unperturbed theory. This correlator will exist because the Riegert charge is conserved. It indicates that the correlation function has a power-law behavior in the mass scale.

Finally, we discuss physical implications the model indicates. First of all, this model has no spacetime singularity.[11] It is, of course, a necessary condition for the unitarity problem in strong gravity. This is a peculiar property of quantum gravity with background-metric independence. In such circumstances, there will be no spacetime we can recognize, and physical quantities like the S-matrix become inadequate, since they are always defined on a fixed classical spacetime of observers.

Therefore, we consider correlation functions of gravitational fluctuations and the unitarity now implies the positivity of the amplitude. Indeed, the Riegert field has the logarithmic correlation and it produces the scale-invariant Harrison-Zel'dovich-Peebles spectrum with positive amplitude proportional to $1/b_1$ [29],[12] which will be the initial condition of the inflationary universe driven by quantum gravity [30, 31].[13] The smallness of initial tensor fluctuations indicated by the CMB observations can be concluded from the fact that tensor fields are excluded from physical fields at the UV limit. It is also a consequence of the asymptotically free behavior of the traceless tensor field.

---

[10] Here, s is not integer, but fractional number. Therefore, by regarding s as an integer the correlator may be evaluated and then s may be analytically continued to the fractional number [5].

[11] Unlike the Einstein action, the Weyl action diverges for a singular spacetime configuration such as the Schwarzschild black hole and thus such a configuration is excluded from quantum spacetime.

[12] Precisely, the Riegert field is not physical in itself and so the statement is valid within the linear approximation that holds in the case of sufficiently large b_1.

Thus, renormalizable 4D quantum gravity has a lot of advantages to describe the evolution of the universe in the early epoch. Also, as was already shown, the negative-metric mode known as the cause of the unitarity problem can never appear alone in the real world because it is not gauge invariant in itself. It is one of the elements to make up the physical field and also is an indispensable element to form closed algebra of diffeomorphism symmetry at the quantum level. The conclusive statement on unitarity, however, should be postponed until the overall analysis of correlation functions is completed and their physical meanings in quantum spacetime are understood more clearly.

A Basic Tools on $R \times S^3$

The notations and conventions for various tools on $R \times S^3$ [14] are summarized here. The background metric is parameterized by the coordinate $x^\mu = (\eta, x^i)$ using the Euler angles $x^i = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ as $d\hat{s}^2_{R\times S^3} = -d\eta^2 + \frac{1}{4}(d\alpha^2 + d\beta^2 + d\gamma^2 + 2\cos\beta d\alpha d\gamma)$, where α, β and γ have the ranges $[0, 2\pi]$, $[0, \pi]$ and $[0, 4\pi]$, respectively. The radius of S^3 is taken to be unity such that $\hat{R} = 6$. The volume element on the unit S^3 is $d\Omega_3 = \sin\beta d\alpha d\beta d\gamma/8$ and the volume is given by $\mathrm{V}_3 = \int d\Omega_3 = 2\pi^2$. The angle ω is defined by $\cos(\omega/2) = \cos(\beta/2)\cos(\alpha/2)\cos(\gamma/2) - \cos(\beta/2)\sin(\alpha/2)\sin(\gamma/2)$.

The scalar harmonics on S^3 is defined by $Y_{JM} = \sqrt{(2J+1)/\mathrm{V}_3} D^J_{mm'}$ satisfying $\hat{\nabla}^j \hat{\nabla}_j Y_{JM} = -2J(2J+2) Y_{JM}$, where $D^J_{mm'}$ is the Wigner D-function [32]. It belongs to the (J, J) representation of the isometry group $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ and J (≥ 0) takes integer or half-integer values. The index

---

[13] The inflationary solution is obtained without introducing any artificial field by hands when the Einstein term is added. The inflation terminates at the dynamical scale $\Lambda_{\mathrm{QG}} \simeq 10^{17}$ GeV where the running coupling constant diverges.

$M=(m,m')$ denotes the multiplicity of the (J,J) representation and thus m and m' take values from $-J$ to J, respectively. The normalization is taken to be $\int d\Omega_3 Y^*_{J_1M_1}Y_{J_2M_2}=\delta_{J_1J_2}\delta_{M_1M_2}$, where $\delta_{M_1M_2}=\delta_{m_1m_2}\delta_{m'_1m'_2}$. The complex conjugate is given by $Y^*_{JM}=\epsilon_M Y_{J-M}$, where $\epsilon_M=(-1)^{m-m'}$.

The $SU(2)\times SU(2)$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficient defined by the volume integral of three products of scalar harmonics over S^3 is given by

$$\mathbf{C}^{JM}_{J_1M_1,J_2M_2}=\sqrt{\frac{(2J_1+1)(2J_2+1)}{2J+1}}C^{Jm}_{J_1m_1,J_2m_2}C^{Jm'}_{J_1m'_1,J_2m'_2}, \tag{A.1}$$

where $C^{Jm}_{J_1m_1,J_2m_2}$ is the standard $SU(2)$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficient [32]. It satisfies the relations such as $\mathbf{C}^{JM}_{J_1M_1,J_2M_2}=\mathbf{C}^{JM}_{J_2M_2,J_1M_1}=\mathbf{C}^{J-M}_{J_1-M_1,J_2-M_2}=\epsilon_{M_2}\mathbf{C}^{J_1M_1}_{JM,J_2-M_2}$, $\mathbf{C}^{JM}_{00,JN}=\delta_{MN}$ and the crossing relation

$$\sum_{J\geq 0}\sum_{M}\epsilon_M\mathbf{C}^{J_1M_1}_{J_2M_2,J-M}\mathbf{C}^{J_3M_3}_{JM,J_4M_4}=\sum_{J\geq 0}\sum_{M}\epsilon_M\mathbf{C}^{J_1M_1}_{J_4M_4,J-M}\mathbf{C}^{J_3M_3}_{JM,J_2M_2}. \tag{A.2}$$

The 15 conformal Killing vectors on $R\times S^3$ are given in the following. The vector that generates the time translation is denoted by $\eta^\mu=(1,0,0,0)$. The 6 Killing vectors on S^3 are given by $\zeta^\mu_{MN}=(0,\zeta^j_{MN})$ with $\zeta^j_{MN}=i(\mathrm{V}_3/4)\times\{Y^*_{1/2M}\hat{\nabla}^jY_{1/2N}-Y_{1/2N}\hat{\nabla}^jY^*_{1/2M}\}$. Here, we use the index without J in the case of the 4-vector index of $J=1/2$ that appears in the conformal killing vectors and the corresponding generators. The 4 vectors that generate special conformal transformations are given by $\zeta^\mu_M=(\zeta^0_M,\zeta^j_M)$ with $\zeta^0_M=\sqrt{\mathrm{V}_3}e^{i\eta}Y^*_{1/2M}/2$ and $\zeta^j_M=-i\sqrt{\mathrm{V}_3}e^{i\eta}\hat{\nabla}^jY^*_{1/2M}/2$. Their complex conjugates are also conformal Killing vectors for special conformal transformations.

At last, we give the product expansion formulae for scalar harmonics,

$$\begin{aligned}
Y^*_{\frac{1}{2}M}Y_{JN}&=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{V}_3}}\left\{\sum_{N'}\mathbf{C}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{JN,J+\frac{1}{2}N'}Y^*_{J+\frac{1}{2}N'}+\sum_{N'}\mathbf{C}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{JN,J-\frac{1}{2}N'}Y^*_{J-\frac{1}{2}N'}\right\},\\
\hat{\nabla}^iY^*_{\frac{1}{2}M}\hat{\nabla}_iY_{JN}&=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{V}_3}}\Bigg\{-2J\sum_{N'}\mathbf{C}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{JN,J+\frac{1}{2}N'}Y^*_{J+\frac{1}{2}N'}\\
&\qquad\qquad+(2J+2)\sum_{N'}\mathbf{C}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{JN,J-\frac{1}{2}N'}Y^*_{J-\frac{1}{2}N'}\Bigg\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

These are used to show the transformation laws in Section 4.

B Generators for Tensor Fields

Here, we summarize the generator of conformal algebra for the traceless tensor field derived in [14].

The Weyl action is quantized in the radiation$^+$ gauge[14] and then the traceless tensor field $h_{\mu\nu}$ is expanded in tensor and vector harmonics on S^3 with three types of mode-operators, $c_{J(Mx)}$, $d_{J(Mx)}$ and $e_{J(My)}$. The first two modes belong to the $(J+x, J-x)$ representation of $SU(2)\times SU(2)$ with $J\geq 1$ and the third belongs to the $(J+y, J-y)$ representation with $J\geq 1$, where $x=\pm 1$ and $y=\pm 1/2$ are the polarization indices for rank 2 tensor and vector, respectively. The index $M=(m,m')$ denotes the multiplicity for each representation.

The commutation relations are set as $[c_{J_1(M_1x_1)}, c^\dagger_{J_2(M_2x_2)}] = -[d_{J_1(M_1x_1)}, d^\dagger_{J_2(M_2x_2)}] = \delta_{J_1J_2}\delta_{M_1M_2}\delta_{x_1x_2}$ and $[e_{J_1(M_1y_1)}, e^\dagger_{J_2(M_2y_2)}] = -\delta_{J_1J_2}\delta_{M_1M_2}\delta_{y_1y_2}$, and thus $c_{J(Mx)}$ has the positive metric and $d_{J(Mx)}$ and $e_{J(My)}$ have the negative metric. The Hamiltonian is then given by $H=\sum_{J\geq1}\{\sum_{M,x}[2Jc^\dagger_{J(Mx)}c_{J(Mx)} - (2J+2)d^\dagger_{J(Mx)}d_{J(Mx)}] - \sum_{M,y}(2J+1)e^\dagger_{J(My)}e_{J(My)}\}$.

The generators of special conformal transformations are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_M \;=\; & \sum_{J\geq1}\sum_{M_1,x_1}\sum_{M_2,x_2}\mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(M_1x_1),J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2x_2)}\Big\{\sqrt{2J(2J+2)}\,\epsilon_{M_1}c^\dagger_{J(-M_1x_1)}c_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2x_2)} \\
& -\sqrt{(2J+1)(2J+3)}\,\epsilon_{M_1}d^\dagger_{J(-M_1x_1)}d_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2x_2)} + \epsilon_{M_2}c^\dagger_{J+\frac{1}{2}(-M_2x_2)}d_{J(M_1x_1)}\Big\} \\
& +\sum_{J\geq1}\sum_{M_1,x_1}\sum_{M_2,y_2}\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(M_1x_1);J(M_2y_2)}\Big\{A(J)\epsilon_{M_1}c^\dagger_{J(-M_1x_1)}e_{J(M_2y_2)} \\
& \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad +B(J)\epsilon_{M_2}e^\dagger_{J(-M_2y_2)}d_{J(M_1x_1)}\Big\} \\
& +\sum_{J\geq1}\sum_{M_1,y_1}\sum_{M_2,y_2}\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(M_1y_1),J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2y_2)}C(J)\epsilon_{M_1}e^\dagger_{J(-M_1y_1)}e_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2y_2)}, \qquad \text{(B.1)}
\end{aligned}$$

---

[14] The space of the residual symmetry in the radiation gauge $\hat{\nabla}^i h_{ij} = \hat{\nabla}^i h_{i0} = h_{00} = 0$ is slightly bigger than the space generated by the 15 conformal Killing vector, and hence we further remove the lowest mode of h_{i0} satisfying $(\hat{\nabla}^j\hat{\nabla}_j + 2)h_{i0} = 0$, namely $e_{1/2(My)} = 0$ in the text. We call this choice as the radiation$^+$ gauge.

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(J) &= \sqrt{\frac{4J}{(2J-1)(2J+3)}}, \qquad B(J) = \sqrt{\frac{2(2J+2)}{(2J-1)(2J+3)}}, \\ C(J) &= \sqrt{\frac{(2J-1)(2J+1)(2J+2)(2J+4)}{2J(2J+3)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

The $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficients defined by the volume integrals of three products of tensor harmonics up to rank 2 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(M_1x_1),J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2x_2)} &= \sqrt{(2J-1)(J+2)}\,C^{\frac{1}{2}m}_{J+x_1m_1,J+\frac{1}{2}+x_2m_2}C^{\frac{1}{2}m'}_{J-x_1m'_1,J+\frac{1}{2}-x_2m'_2}, \\ \mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(M_1x_1);J(M_2y_2)} &= -\sqrt{(2J-1)(2J+3)}\,C^{\frac{1}{2}m}_{J+x_1m_1,J+y_2m_2}C^{\frac{1}{2}m'}_{J-x_1m'_1,J-y_2m'_2}, \\ \mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(M_1y_1),J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2y_2)} &= \sqrt{J(2J+3)}\,C^{\frac{1}{2}m}_{J+y_1m_1,J+\frac{1}{2}+y_2m_2}C^{\frac{1}{2}m'}_{J-y_1m'_1,J+\frac{1}{2}-y_2m'_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

Here, the type **E** is defined by the product of scalar and two tensor harmonics, the type **H** is the product of scalar, tensor and vector harmonics with a derivative and the type **D** is the product of scalar and two vector harmonics. In the generator, the coefficients with the 4-vector index for scalar harmonics appear. The general expressions of these coefficients are given in [14].

In order to construct physical states, we have to prepare creation operators that commute with Q_M. From (B.1), we find that all creation modes do not commutes with Q_M, except the lowest creation mode of tensor field with positive-metric $c^\dagger_{1(Mx)}$. Thus, the rotation invariant combination of $c^\dagger_{1(Mx)}$ gives the lower weight states in the Weyl sector. For other modes, we look for the Q_M-invariant creation operators constructed in a bilinear form. Such operators and $c^\dagger_{1(Mx)}$ will provide building blocks of physical states for the Weyl sector, which have been constructed and classified in [15].

C Building Blocks for Lower L

Here, we write down the building blocks of physical states given in (5.9) for the lower cases of L. For $L=1$, they are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
S^\dagger_{1N} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(\sqrt{2b_1}-i\hat{p})a^\dagger_{1N} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{M_1,M_2}\mathbf{C}^{1N}_{\frac{1}{2}M_1,\frac{1}{2}M_2}a^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}M_1}a^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}M_2}, \\
\mathcal{S}^\dagger_{00} &= -\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{2b_1}-i\hat{p})b^\dagger_{00} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{M}\epsilon_M a^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}-M}a^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}M}.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

The building blocks of $L=2$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
S^\dagger_{2N} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{15}}(\sqrt{2b_1}-i\hat{p})a^\dagger_{2N} - \sqrt{2}\sum_{M_1,M_2}\mathbf{C}^{2N}_{\frac{3}{2}M_1,\frac{1}{2}M_2}a^\dagger_{\frac{3}{2}M_1}a^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}M_2} \\
&\quad +\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\sum_{M_1,M_2}\mathbf{C}^{2N}_{1M_1,1M_2}a^\dagger_{1M_1}a^\dagger_{1M_2}, \\
\mathcal{S}^\dagger_{1N} &= -\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{2b_1}-i\hat{p})b^\dagger_{1N} - 4b^\dagger_{00}a^\dagger_{1N} - \sqrt{2}\sum_{M_1,M_2}\mathbf{C}^{1N}_{\frac{3}{2}M_1,\frac{1}{2}M_2}a^\dagger_{\frac{3}{2}M_1}a^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}M_2} \\
&\quad +\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\sum_{M_1,M_2}\mathbf{C}^{1N}_{1M_1,1M_2}a^\dagger_{1M_1}a^\dagger_{1M_2} + 4\sum_{M_1,M_2}\mathbf{C}^{1N}_{\frac{1}{2}M_1,\frac{1}{2}M_2}b^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}M_1}a^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}M_2}
\end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

and the building blocks of $L=3$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
S^\dagger_{3N} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{35}}(\sqrt{2b_1}-i\hat{p})a^\dagger_{3N} - \sqrt{2}\sum_{M_1,M_2}\mathbf{C}^{3N}_{\frac{5}{2}M_1,\frac{1}{2}M_2}a^\dagger_{\frac{5}{2}M_1}a^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}M_2} \\
&\quad +4\sum_{M_1,M_2}\mathbf{C}^{3N}_{2M_1,1M_2}a^\dagger_{2M_1}a^\dagger_{1M_2} - \sqrt{\frac{15}{2}}\sum_{M_1,M_2}\mathbf{C}^{3N}_{\frac{3}{2}M_1,\frac{3}{2}M_2}a^\dagger_{\frac{3}{2}M_1}a^\dagger_{\frac{3}{2}M_2}, \\
\mathcal{S}^\dagger_{2N} &= -\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{2b_1}-i\hat{p})b^\dagger_{2N} - 4\sqrt{3}b^\dagger_{00}a^\dagger_{2N} - \sqrt{2}\sum_{M_1,M_2}\mathbf{C}^{2N}_{\frac{5}{2}M_1,\frac{1}{2}M_2}a^\dagger_{\frac{5}{2}M_1}a^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}M_2} \\
&\quad +4\sum_{M_1,M_2}\mathbf{C}^{2N}_{2M_1,1M_2}a^\dagger_{2M_1}a^\dagger_{1M_2} - \sqrt{\frac{15}{2}}\sum_{M_1,M_2}\mathbf{C}^{2N}_{\frac{3}{2}M_1,\frac{3}{2}M_2}a^\dagger_{\frac{3}{2}M_1}a^\dagger_{\frac{3}{2}M_2} \\
&\quad +4\sqrt{3}\sum_{M_1,M_2}\mathbf{C}^{2N}_{\frac{3}{2}M_1,\frac{1}{2}M_2}b^\dagger_{\frac{3}{2}M_1}a^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}M_2} - 4\sqrt{15}\sum_{M_1,M_2}\mathbf{C}^{2N}_{1M_1,1M_2}b^\dagger_{1M_1}a^\dagger_{1M_2} \\
&\quad +4\sqrt{15}\sum_{M_1,M_2}\mathbf{C}^{2N}_{\frac{1}{2}M_1,\frac{3}{2}M_2}b^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}M_1}a^\dagger_{\frac{3}{2}M_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.3}$$

References

[1] A. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. **103B** (1981) 207.

[2] V. Knizhnik, A. Polyakov and A. Zamolodchikov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **3** (1988) 819.

[3] J. Distler and H. Kawai, Nucl. Phys. **B321** (1989) 509; F. David, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **3** (1988) 1651.

[4] N. Seiberg, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **102** (1990) 319.

[5] M. Goulian and M. Li, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66** (1991) 2051.

[6] P. Bouwknegt, J. McCarthy and K. Pilch, Commun. Math. Phys. **145** (1992) 541.

[7] K. Hamada, Prog. Theor. Phys. **108** (2002) 399.

[8] K. Hamada, Found. Phys. **39** (2009) 1356.

[9] R. Riegert, Phys. Lett. **134B** (1984) 56.

[10] I. Antoniadis and E. Mottola, Phys. Rev. D **45** (1992) 2013.

[11] I. Antoniadis, P. Mazur and E. Mottola, Nucl. Phys. **B388** (1992) 627.

[12] I. Antoniadis, P. Mazur and E. Mottola, Phys. Rev. D **55** (1997) 4770.

[13] K. Hamada and F. Sugino, Nucl. Phys. **B553** (1999) 283.

[14] K. Hamada and S. Horata, Prog. Theor. Phys. **110** (2003) 1169.

[15] K. Hamada, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **20** (2005) 5353.

[16] K. Hamada, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **24** (2009) 3073.

[17] K. Hamada, Found. Phys. **41** (2011) 41.

[18] K. Hamada, Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 024028.

[19] C. Becchi, A. Rouet and R. Stora, Comm. Math. Phys. **42** (1975) 127; Ann. Phys. **98** (1976) 287.

[20] T. Kugo and I. Ojima, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **66** (1979) 1.

[21] M. Kato and K. Ogawa, Nucl. Phys. **B212** (1983) 443.

[22] D. Friedan, E. Martinec and S. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. **B271** (1986) 93.

[23] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Phys. Lett. **37B** (1971) 95.

[24] L. Bonora, P. Cotta-Ramusino and C. Reina, Phys. Lett. **B126** (1983) 305.

[25] D. Capper and M. Duff, Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis. A **23** (1974) 173.

[26] S. Deser, M. Duff and C. Isham, Nucl. Phys. **B111** (1976) 45.

[27] M. Duff, Nucl. Phys. **B125** (1977) 334.

[28] I. Antoniadis, P. Mazur and E. Mottola, Phys. Rev. D **55** (1997) 4756.

[29] K. Hamada, S. Horata and T. Yukawa, Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 083533.

[30] K. Hamada and T. Yukawa, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **20** (2005) 509.

[31] K. Hamada, S. Horata and T. Yukawa, Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 123502.

[32] D. Varshalovich, A. Moskalev and V. Khersonskii, *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988).